

**Low-Cost CuX Catalyst from Blast Furnace Slag Waste for Low-Temperature NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR**L. Chen<sup>1,2</sup>, S. Ren<sup>1</sup>, Q. Liu<sup>1</sup>, D. Ferri<sup>2</sup><sup>1</sup>Chongqing University, <sup>2</sup>Paul Scherrer Institut

The presence of nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) in industrial flue gas is a major concern due to their negative impact on the environment and human health [1]. Selective catalytic reduction with NH<sub>3</sub> (NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR) is the primary technology for reducing and controlling NO<sub>x</sub> emissions [2]. As one of the primary solid wastes generated from blast furnace iron production, blast furnace slag (BFS) contains an adequate quantity of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub> that could serve as raw material for zeolite synthesis. Zeolite X with low Si/Al ratio was successfully prepared by the seed-hydrothermal method [3].

In this study, we investigated the low-temperature NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR activity and surface acidity of a series of Cu-exchanged zeolite X (derived from blast furnace slag) catalysts with various Cu/Al ratios (mole ratio of Cu/Al=0.13, 0.28, 0.4, 0.45, 0.46, 0.47). Based on the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR performance of these CuX catalysts, the CuX-0.28 catalyst exhibited the highest NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR activity across the entire temperature range, exhibiting NO conversion above 93% from 150 °C to 400 °C and N<sub>2</sub> selectivity higher than 91%. The catalytic tests towards the oxidation of NH<sub>3</sub> revealed that NH<sub>3</sub> was oxidized to NO or N<sub>2</sub>O above 300 °C. NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD measurements showed that the CuX-0.28 catalyst had the highest surface acidity in the series and most of surface acidity was attributed to Lewis acid sites. During in situ DRIFTS of the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR reaction, both Lewis and Brønsted acid sites were identified on the CuX-0.28 catalyst, with Lewis acid sites playing the primary role in the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR reaction.

[1] S. C. Anenberg, et al. *Nature*, **2017**, 545, 467-471.

[2] Y. Park, et al. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, **2023**, 461, 141958.

[3] L. Chen, et al. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, **2022**, 10, 7739-7751.