Understanding the catalytic pyrolysis mechanism of lignin constituents: the importance of functional groups

<u>Z. Pan^{1,2}</u>, X. Wu¹, A. Bodi¹, S. Bjelic¹, J. A. van Bokhoven^{1,2}*, P. Hemberger¹*

¹Paul Scherrer Institute, ²ETH Zurich

Lignin, rich in aromatic compounds, is renewable and cheap, which makes it an ideal raw material for producing fuels and chemicals.¹ It is a complex and amorphous polymer, and its complex catalytic pyrolysis mechanism results in challenging product-selectivity control. Understanding the reaction mechanism in lignin catalytic pyrolysis is, thus, key to improving product selectivity.²

Lignin can be described as a condensate of smaller aromatic units (*p*-hydroxyphenyl and guaiacyl, etc.) with linkages, such as the β -O-4 bond, between them.^{3,4} Therefore, lignin model compounds, such as guaiacol, vanillin, eugenol, and linkage-bound dimers offer a tractable avenue to investigating the reaction mechanism. We have applied advanced Photoelectron Photoion Coincidence spectroscopy (PEPICO) to investigate a broad variety of lignin model compounds and derived comprehensive reaction pathways based on detecting reactive intermediates isomer-selectively.⁵

In this contribution, we discuss and summarize the influence of the hydroxyl, methoxy, aldehyde, and allyl functional groups on the reaction temperature and the pyrolysis mechanism based on experiments of mono- to tri-substituted aromatics. The goal is to construct a coherent picture based on these model compounds and to reveal universal rules driving lignin catalytic pyrolysis. This will open up new ways to optimizing lignin valorization.

[1] Wouter Schutyser, Tom Renders, Sander Van Den Bosch, Steven-Friso Koelewijn, Gregg T. Beckham, & Bert F. Sels, *Chemical Society Reviews*, **2018**, 47, 852-908.

[2] Patrick Hemberger, Victoria B. F. Custodis, Andras Bodi, Thomas Gerber, & Jeroen A. van Bokhoven, *Nature Communications*, **2017**, 8: 15946.

[3] Joseph Zakzeski, Pieter C. A. Bruijnincx, Anna L. Jongerius, & Bert M. Weckhuysen, *Chemical Review*, **2010**, 110, 3552–3599.

[4] John Ralph, Catherine Lapierre, & Wout Boerjan, *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, **2019**, 56, 240–249.

[5] Patrick Hemberger, Andras Bodi, Thomas Bierkandt, Markus Köhler, Dennis Kaczmarek, & Tina Kasper *Energy & Fuels*, **2021**, 35, 16265–16302.